App F IX 4

Previous References
LR/259-35-3 10
— 137-37-1 2
me/ 19-40 — 119, 120, 121
me/ 22-41 — 90, 91
Later References

1945 (me)

me File No 22-44
Serial Nos 101, 102

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 23

Part B for Nov 45

SUBJECT

Acquisition of certain properties on Mysore Road at an Estimated Cost of Rs 15,142/- for the Construction of Muslim Marriage Hall by the A R A Board

L — 3924
19th Nov 45

States that Govt are not in favour of the proposal and that it is open to the Party to purchase it by Private Negotiations

To

The Mle Comnr B'Gdy
thro' the Dpt

ML 22-44

Letter NO L1 401/40-41 Dt 17-3-45 from the ML Comm, Bezwada forwarding for publication Draft Preliminary notifications for the acqn of certain properties in Ivy Rose Road for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall -

In the year 1941, proposals were received from the ML Comm, Bezwada for the acqn of certain properties on behalf of Mr A.R.A Basith at a cost of Rs 12,845 for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall, ~~the~~ at a cost of Rs 20,000/- the entire cost being met by ~~Mr A.R.A Basith Merchant, Bezwada~~ the said Gentleman.

The Preliminary notifications in this behalf were also published by Govt

There were applications protesting against the acqn of the properties The ML C considered this question at their meeting and decided

to drop this question 6125 & Accordingly
a reference was made to Court & the
Court issued a notfn dropping
the question - (Vide Notfn no L 8603 "D2"
Rl 22-41-90 Dt 13-2 43)

The Rl el have again forwarded
for publication preliminary notifications
for the acqn of certain properties
at an estimated cost of Rs 15,142/-
the cost being met by the ARP Bank
He has also deposited the above amount
submitted for orders

VAO 12/5 7) for orders of the preliminary
notifications may be published. 1.5.45

Law dept / 17/5 Rus

8) What is the basis of the Valuation?
When were they Valued?

Cus
17-5-45

9) Pleasure Asst 17 5 45

10) Sealed with a draft covering letter

11) Pleasure 21 5 45

12 18/5 To the Municipal Comm
d 11-5-45 d 29-5-45
Calls for the above information

Issue A)

From the Municipal Comm Bangalore city
L I 401 / 40-41, d 17-3-45 4-7-45

States that the valuation of the properties question is made considering the nature of materials used, cost of construction, proximity to the business centre etc

12) The information called for as to when these properties were valued has not been furnished by the Municipal Comm etc will be addressed again

13) Refer para 8 supra

14) 9/8 Submitted please

Sen 13/7

Sen 19/7/45

15) Submitted with draft letter

Sen 20/7

16) Approved 20/7/45

RECEIVED
20 JULY 1945
REVENUE & REV SECTT

The Municipal Comm Bangalore city
L 979 D 10-8-45
calls for information as to when the above properties were valued.

From the Municipal Comm. Bangalore city
L I 401-40-41 D 27-8-45
states that the valuation statements were prepared by the Municipal Engineer on 9-8-44

Sen 24/7

17) For orders of the Prohibition Notification may be published

18) Refer — paras 7 to 11 supra.

To draft letter to the Municipal Comm for the enclosure Sen 26/7

Sen 26/7

19) The valuation statement received from the Municipal Comm, Bangalore city, is sent

4329/48
P 6125 J 24 8 4/L J 177

File No

Continuation Sheet | Cur ent No | of | Page

7.1 T

20) It is seen that the Valuation Statement was prepared by the Municipal Engineer on 9-8-44

21) Refer paras 9 to 11 Supra.

Jdl 11/10 21/10 The Regn of the properties of for the erection of a theatre marriage hall in Basitla has been executed an agreement to secure the charges of Regn & also deposited a sum of Rs 10,000/- for the purpose.

23) One point seems to require consideration. Whether Regn of properties for this purpose can be considered as for public purpose under the L.A. Act. Perhaps the Law Dept may be consulted

Addl Secy) 21/10

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY No 2248 Dte 22/10 GOVT. OF MYSORE

24) I don't consider that this could be stretch construed as "public purpose" under the Land Acqn Act If necessary, private negotiations may be made & in any case, please consult Law Secy

R.C. No. 20

Form of opinion

25)

Addl Secy 27/X/45

The question at issue is whether the acquisition of the property in question for construction of a Muslim marriage hall, could be deemed to be an acquisition for a public purpose within the meaning of s 3(f) of the Land Acquisition Act

The expression public purpose is not defined in the Act The definition in s 3(f) appears to be partial and not exhaustive and what is a public purpose likely to prove useful to the appear to be matters left to the absolute discretion of the Govt subject to the rights of objection by the interested party under s 5A

Under s 6 known, the declaration that land is required for a public

purpose (where also the compensation is paid
is wholly or partly out of public
revenues) is conclusive evidence that
the land is needed for a public
purpose

To constitute public
purpose it is not necessary
that the land taken should be
made available to the public
at large Even if only a
section of the public is benefited
still the purpose is a public one
the expression includes a purpose
in which the general interest
of the community as opposed to
the particular interest of individuals
is directly and vitally concerned
(Sanitary Board v Corfield 37 CW) 1930 Mad 798
This was a case of compulsory acquisition
acts for construction of houses for particular

24 Le 101

4329/45

Acquisition for purposes - Private Town,

Since the acquisition on the ground can affect a section of the public right - the Municipality - it appears such a purpose may well come within the meaning of s 3 (f) so as to constitute "public purpose"

Subject with effect to your observations

I think, the acquisition of the Property for the Municipal Council, can be deemed to be for a Public Purpose. It need not be for the Public as a whole, - it is sufficient if it is for a Section of the Public, as it is so in Municipal Town, a declaration under Section 6 of the L.A. Act is conclusive evidence to show that the acquisition is for a Public Purpose. The acquisition may therefore proceed

Law Secretary

3/11/45

MKN 3/10/45

SECT L DEPT IS 91 7 NOV 1945 GVT SRI

Although "Public purpose" is not defined in the L.A. Act it can be inferred from the terms of Sec 6 of the Act that proceedings are not to be initiated unless the compensation is payable out of public revenues or the funds under control of a local authority. In one case, the High Court of Madras held that where the acquisition is made or started at the instance of a private individual & whole of the compensation money was payable by him, the proceedings were illegal.

On the mere undertaking by a private person to bear the cost of acquisition proceedings are not

1911

Besides the utility of the proposed structure for a Section of the public other factors which may be taken into accounts in order to constitute 'Public purpose' are i) whether there is a bona fide need for it at the particular place ii) whether the proprietary rights will Vest with the public iii) the effect of allowing the proposed structure on other Sections of the public there are questions of fact, Decision on which will Depend on the considerations Varying from time to time and place to place - there is no clearly Defined or hard and fast rule for guidance or determination about this

6128
1

ACCTTD A EC CTARY
No 2545
Dte 8/11
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Addl Secy
DPC)

RV
8/11/45
&
8/11

I think Govt of Govt
Could Sanction the acquisition
of this property, on the ground
that it is required for a public
purpose. It is open to the party
to purchase it by private
negotiation Does not seem
a fit Case for Govt interference

Minister for Ed^n & P H) Govt
8/XI/45

THE CHIEF
FOR EXECUTIVE
Date 9-11-45
No 2230

15/11/45

16/XI/45

Govt

16 11 45

Secy

Sent with a draft letter for appl
Dy Secy

16/XI

Dy Secy
16 11 45

K16
191

CL 22-44-101

2484
L ML 22-45

To Ne ML Comm, Brisbane ~~POS~~

NO L 3924 8 19-11-45.

States that Govt are not in favour of the proposal & that it is open to the Party to purchase it by private negotiations & that the party may be informed accordingly

B
20/11

RR 22-44-101

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

6125

No L1-401/40-41

Encl L1-C 785/43 } Encl despatched now herewith & cl Resolution copy

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 17th Mar. 194 5

FROM

K Subba Rao Esq , B A ,
~~R SURYANARAYANA SETTI, ESQ., B.A.~~
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

756
20/3/45

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Legal Remembrancer & Secretary to Govt ,
Law Department, Bangalore

Mr KRS

SIR

Subject - Acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road, for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall by Mr A R A Basith

---

In forwarding herewith for favour of publication in the Mysore Gazette draft preliminary notifications both in English and Kannada for the acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road to the west of Arcot Srinivasachar Street, III Dn Bangalore City, for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall I have the honour to state that the acquisition was sanctioned by the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 13-9-1944 (A copy of the resolution is herein enclosed for favour of reference)

The estimated cost of acquisition amounting to Rs 15,142/- together with the entire cost of acquisition of these properties will be met by Mr A R A Basith, Merchant, Sri Narasimharaja Road, Bangalore City as per registered agreement executed by him on 7-2-1945 in this behalf he has already deposited Rs 15,142/- for the purpose

I request that orders of Government may be kindly obtained for the acquisition authorising the Special Land Acquisition Officer, Bangalore City Municipality to hear objections under Section 5 (A) Land Acquisition Act The Compiler, Mysore Gazette may be requested to send 25 spare copies of notification to this Office

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Subba Rao

Municipal Commissioner

AOR

L-11539

URR

To

The Municipal Comm
Bangalore city

Subj - Acquisition of certain properties at an estimated cost of Rs 15142/ on Mysore Road for the construction of a muslim marriage hall by Mr A R A Basit

Sir,

With reference to your letter no L1 401/40-41 d 17-3-43 on the above subject, I am directed to enquire what the basis of the valuation of the properties in question, and when they were valued & to request that the records accompanying the same may be forwarded for perusal & return

15/IV/43

for Land Secy

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

6 JULY 1945 L BRANCH

No L1 401/40-41 — Municipal Offices,

Encl Two of 2 not bracketed (Kannada & English) in duplicate — Bangalore 4th July 1940

FROM

K SUBBA RAO Esq B A

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

BANGALORE CITY

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu Esq B A B L,
Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to the
Government of Mysore Law Department,
Bangalore

SIR

Subject Acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road at an estimated cost of Rs 15 142 for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall by Mr A R A Basith

---

With reference to your letter No L 11509 dated 29-5-1945 enquiring what the basis of the valuation of the properties in question is and when they were valued, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Municipal Engineer's report in the matter for favour of reference

2 The records are returned herewith as desired

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Municipal Commissioner

Report No EJ C1 4560/44 dated 10th June 1945 from the Municipal Engineer Bangalore City

---

Subject Acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road for the construction of Muslim Marriage Hall by Mr A M A Basith.

Submitted to the Municipal Commissioner The land value is fixed considering the locality proximity to the business place and actual position (the portion immediately by the side of the road will be valued at a higher rate than the portion at the rear) of the land with reference to the main thoroughfare and by local enquiries

The valuation of properties is made considering the nature of materials used and the cost of construction etc and allowing necessary depreciation charges

Connected papers are enclosed herewith

(Copy)

Municipal Commissioner

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Municipal Council meeting dated 13-9-1944

Subject No 30 - Plan & valuation statement for Rs 13,142/- for acquisition of certain properties of Mr Ramaprasiramiah and others in Mysore Road to the west of Arcot Srinivasachar Street, for a Muslim Marriage Hall

Mr G Manjappa speaking on the subject said that this was once turned down by Government and subsequently it was passed in the Council when they were all not present and hence it was not necessary to acquire this

Mr G Ethirajulu Naidu opposing the above said that when once the Council had resolved a particular thing, it was not proper to be changing off and on and said that they might sanction the plan and valuation statement

Mr K M Nagarathnam next observed that there was a previous valuation for the same properties and that since the present valuation was too low, it was better the matter went before the C I T. He also suggested that it was better the party was asked to deposit the entire cost of acquisition leaving a margin for enhanced compensation, if any, in Court and moved that this subject be deferred for fresh valuation statement so that the party may be requested to deposit the amount before further steps are taken

Mr V Chennaiah seconded the above

Mr G P Ethirajulu Naidu observed that if the Members were in a doubt as to whether the party for whose sake the acquisition proceedings were being taken would pay the enhanced compensation they might take an undertaking from him binding him to pay the entire cost of acquisition If that was done, then there would be no necessity to defer the subject

Mr P V Suryanarayana Rao also observed that in all the previous proceedings, the matter was dealt with as though there was only one property of Mr Ramanagar Miah for acquisition and the President informed the Member that three properties were involved in the case

Mr Mirza Azimulla Beig said that if necessary, they might take an undertaking from Mr Basith the gentlemen on whose behalf the acquisition proceedings were being taken to pay the entire cost of acquisition and that this need not come in the way of taking further steps of acquisition If the owners of the properties under acquisition claimed enhanced compensation, it was the lookout of Mr Basith to pay the enhanced rate, if decreed by Court

At this stage Mr K M Nagarathnam said he would withdraw his motion if the House agreed

Mr K Narayana Iyengar speaking next said that there were a number of petitions from the residents of the locality objecting to the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall in that area and that it was not desirable and proper to go against the wishes of the residents there. He therefore said that the subject be deferred

Then, the request of Mr K M Nagarathnam for permission to withdraw his deferring motion was put to vote and passed, 8 voting for and 6 against

So, Mr K M Nagarathnam was permitted to withdraw his deferring motion

Then, Mr M A Narayana Iyengar moved another deferring proposition on the ground that there was a lot of objection for constructing a Muslim Marriage Hall in the midst of Hindu residentes and that this may be thoroughly investigated before acquiring the properties for a Muslim marriage hall

Mr G Nanjappa seconding the above deferring motion said that the Government had turned down the proposal once before and that since he was not aware of the merits of the case the same may be deferred and that in the meantime, all the members be given a chance to go through the file

Mr A J Khaleel opposing the deferring motion said that when a gentleman had come forward with a philanthropic idea to help his community by providing them with a long felt necessity it was not right on their part to come in the way of his doing charity Further the Council had already resolved to acquire the properties So he appealed to the House to support the acquisition

Mr M K Ethirajulu Naidu also opposed the deferring motion on the ground that this question already accepted by the Council was hanging fire for over three years and should be disposed of immediately

Mr Mirza Azeezulla Beig also opposed the deferring motion and said that the Council had previously sanctioned the acquisition and there was no idea in putting off the question they should either adhere to their previous resolution or say that acquisition is not necessary

Mrs Dwarakabai Gadambi observed that the place was not suited for a Muslim marriage Hall as it was in the midst of hindu residences She suggested that some other place may be selected for the purpose

Mr A S Sharma next observed that there were no two opinions regarding the grant of land for such a purpose but the point for consideration was whether this area was suited for the purpose, since all around this area, hindu residences were in existence It was not proper to attribute motives to anybody in this matter and it was better the matter was investigated in detail

Mr M V Narayana Iyengar replying to the several observations made on his deferring motion said that it was not right to say that he opposed the idea of acquisition of land for a Muslim Marriage Hall What he wanted was to find out if this area suited the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall

Then, the deferring motion was put to vote and lost, 8 voting for and 9 Against

Then, Mr M K Ethirajulu Naidu moved that the plan and valuation statement be approved on condition that the ownership is not to be transferred to Mr A K A Basith till he pays in full to the Municipality any deficit that may arise consequent on the final decision of the Civil Courts regarding the compensation to the claimants, if any

Mr K V Narayana seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting for, and 5 against

Mr G Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Azizulla Beig seconded

The following voted for - Messrs Mirza Azizulla Beig, M K Ethirajulu Naidu, M [illegible] Veeraiah, [illegible] Gupta K P

Nagannna, Ramalal Bhiwani Ambrose Ananda, R J Khaleel, Syed Ghouse Mohiyuddin and Mrs Dwarakabai Gadumbi

The following voted against - Messrs Sharma, M N Narasimha Iyengar, G Ranjappa and P Suryanarayana Rao

The following remained neutral M/S N Keshava Iyengar, C Channiah, A Gopalaswamy Iyengar & M B Shankarappa The result of poll was 11 for and 4 against

Sd/- N Narasinga Rau,
Hon President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

[illegible] seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting for, and [illegible] against [illegible]

Mr [illegible] Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Azizulla Baig seconded

The following voted for - Messrs Mirza Azizulla Beig, [illegible] Thirajulu Naidu, M [illegible] Veera [illegible], [illegible] Gupta K [illegible]

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY 9182

No L 979 10/8/45

The Hl Town Engr

Subj - Acceptation of certain properties in Mysore Road at an estimated cost of Rs 15,142 for the construction of a Pensham Carriage Hall by Mr A R A Basha

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no L1-401-40-41 of 4-7-45 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the information called for in this office letter no L 115-39 dated

29-5-45, as to when
above properties have
valued may kindly be
very early.



A (

Mr [illegible] Narayana seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting For, and 5 against

Mr [illegible] Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Azizulla Baig seconded

The following voted for:- Messrs Mirza Azizulla Baig, [illegible] Hirajulu Naidu, M [illegible] Veeraraghavan, [illegible] Gupta K [illegible]

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY 2484

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L-979 *Dated Bangalore* 10th August 1945

FROM

The Additional Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

Sir,

Subject - Acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road at an estimated cost of Rs 15,142/- for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall by Mr A R A Basith

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No L1-401-40-41 dated 4th July 1945 on the above subject I am directed to state that the information has been now furnished in respect of the basis on which the valuation is made, and the information called in this office letter No L 11539 dated 29th May 1945, as to when the above properties were valued may kindly be furnished, very early

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Additional Secretary to Governme

Neal copy

MSG 2

Mr K [illegible] Nagappa seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting for, and 5 against

Mr [illegible] Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Azizulla Baig seconded

The following voted for - Messrs Mirza Azizulla Baig, [illegible] Thirajulu Naidu, M [illegible] Veera Pe, [illegible] Gupta K [illegible]

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY 2484

No L-2130 18/9/45

Immediate

Dear Sir,

In invite reference to the Correspondence ending with this office reminder No 4979 D 10-8-45, in regard to the acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall, I write to request you to forward a copy of the latest reply sent/ furnished to this office in the matter.

Yours faithfully

(Sd/- A Narasimha Raja)
P of the Municipal Comr

Mr K [illegible] Jayarama Seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting for, and 6 against

Mr G Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Azizulla Baig seconded

The following voted for - Messrs Mirza Azizulla Baig, [illegible] Thirajulu Naidu, M [illegible] Veeranna, [illegible] Gupta K [illegible]

Mariappa, Esq , B A ,
Personal Asst to
the Municipal Commissioner,
L1-401/40-41

Office of the Municipal Commissioner,

Dated, Bangalore, 21st Sept , 19 45

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D O No L 2130 dated 13th September 1945, reuesting me to send a copy of the latest reply sent to Government in regard to the acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road for the construction of a Muslim Marriage Hall, I am sending herewith a copy of this Office letter No L1-401/40-41 dated 27th Aug 1945, sent on 29-8-1945 which is the latest reply sent to Government in the matter

Yours sincerely,

G Ramachandra Rao, Esq , B A ,
Asst Secretary, L S G Branch,
Bangalore

ksm

Mr P [illegible] Naganna seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting for, and 5 against

Mr [illegible] Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Aziz ulla Baig seconded

The following voted for - Messrs Mirza Azizulla Baig [illegible] Hirajulu Naidu, M [illegible] Veera Pa, [illegible] Gupta K M

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY 2482

[illegible] OFFICES. 1

Letter No L1-401/40-41 dated 27-8-1945 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, to the Addl Secretary to Government, Bangalore

---

Subject - Acuisition of certain properties in Mysore Road at an estimated cost of Rs 15,142/- for the construction of Muslim Marriage Hall by Mr A R A Basith

---

With reference to your letter No L 979 dated 10-8-1945 requesting me to intimate as to when the valuation statement was prepared, I have the honour to state that the valuation statement was prepared by the Municipal Engineer on 9-8-1944 and a copy of the valuation sta ement is herein enclosed for favour of reference and return

---

"Copy"

for Municipal Commissioner

ksm

Mr K Nagannа seconded it and it was passed, 11 voting for, and 3 against

Mr V Nanjappa demanded poll and Mr Azizulla Baig seconded

The following voted for - Messrs Mirza Azizulla Baig Muthirajulu Naidu, M Veeraiah, Gupta K L

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY 2484

No L1 401/40-41
Encl one
FROM K SUBBA RAO Esq BA
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

MUNICIPAL OFFICES
Bangalore 27th Aug. 1945

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Additional Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore

Sir

Subject - Acquisition of certain properties in Mysore Road at an estimated cost of Rs 15,142/- for the construction of Muslim Marriage Hall by Mr A R A Basith

---

With reference to your letter No L 979 dated 10-8-1945, requesting me to intimate as to when the valuation statement was prepared, I have the honour to state that the valuation statement was prepared by the Municipal Engineer on 9-8-1944 and a copy of the valuation statement is herein enclosed for favour of reference and return

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

hsr/-

Municipal Commissioner

Enclosure to L/ 401/40-W
dated 27-8-45

Despatches nr 29 & 45





Add 15 to Stroke

Add 15 to Stroke

Total Rs	8049 2
	150
Total Rs	8199 2

Total Rs	4645 15
	101 -
	4642 10
	155 -

	15142 5

Valuation Statement Showing the property in the Charis Street - I Division Development Authority for the Township Committee (Held M.C.S. Nos. ...

Serial No.	Remarks	Sheet	Door No.	Name of owner	Total Quantity in square yards	Description of property
-			199	Syed Muhammad	Sqyds	Land area
			200	Abdulla	84	
			201	Sahib		
						Zinc Sheet Verandah Total including flooring slabs Zinc Sheet roof and walls Complete and roofed building ordinary wood reflus bamboo mud flooring Complete
						Pet tiled roofing on bamboo rafters & reapers brick work flooring etc Complete
						Add 15% for Staircase allowance
			198	Syed Sampangi	422	Land area including Zinc sheet
			197	Ramulu		new portion

... d) Area acquired on Mysore Land West of Arcot Street
i. Construction of a Museum Hall for the temporary ...
785/43 (1 to 3-44)

Measurements				Rate		Amount			Boundaries —			
Length	Breadth	Area	Area in sq. yds.		per				East	West	North	South
28	7	196										
28	13	364										
18	11	198										
Total		758	84	20	Sq. Yd.	168	—	—				
28	7	196		6	Sq. Yd.	73	8	—				
28	13	364		12	Sq. Yd.	273	—	—				
18	11	198		8	—	99	—	—				
			Total Rs			212	8	—				
allowances						318	12	—				
		Grand Total Rs				2,444	4	—				
27	7	189										
25	12	300										
12	14½	174										
14½	13	188.50										
32	13	416										
13	12	156										
51	12	612										
18½	12	222										
23	12½	287½										
23+34/2	32	1056										
		3601	450	15	Sq. Yds.	6000						

Area Sketch Plan ... Museum Site

No L 3924 19/XI/41

To The Chief Comm'r, Bangr,
thro the Dy.

Sir, RL 22-44-102

With reference to the Cor-
-respondence ending with your
letter No RL-401/40-41 dy 27-8-41
re the acqn of certain properties
in the Mysore Road at an estimated
cost of Rs 15,142 for the Construction of
a Muslim Marriage Hall by
Mr A RA Basit, I am directed to
state that Govt are not in favour
of the proposal to acquire & that it
is open to the party to purchase it
by private negotiations. The
party may be informed accordingly.
The declaration statements
received with your letter under

replys are returned herewith

I have etc

[redacted]

For Secy of State

War Dept

9/8/44